

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming
Products Liability Litigation

MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/DTS)

This Document Relates To:

Norton, 16-cv-0026-JNE-DTS
Brunner, 16-cv-2880-JNE-DTS
Wireman et al., 16-cv-3293-JNE-DTS
Wollam, 17-cv-0039-JNE-DTS
Schilawski, 17-cv-0352-JNE-DTS
Miles et al., 17-cv-1235-JNE-DTS
Martz, 17-cv-1528-JNE-DTS
Laws, 17-cv-2518-JNE-DTS
Trinder, 17-cv-2874-JNE-DTS
Fletcher, 17-cv-3262-JNE-DTS
Torbeck et al., 17-cv-4054-JNE-DTS
Davis, 18-cv-0166-JNE-DTS

**(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' EIGHTH MOTION
TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE
TO COMPLY WITH PRETRIAL
ORDER NO. 23, AND FED. R.
CIV. P. 41(b) AND/OR 25(a)**

This came before the Court on Defendants 3M Company's and Arizant Healthcare Inc.'s (collectively "Defendants") Eighth Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Pretrial Order No. 23, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) and/or 25(a).

Based on the files, records, and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion is GRANTED. The following nine actions are dismissed with prejudice in their entirety:

Case Number	Title	Firm Name
16-cv-0026-JNE-DTS	<i>Norton v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Meshbeshier & Spence, Ltd.
16-cv-2880-JNE-DTS	<i>Brunner v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
17-cv-0039-JNE-DTS	<i>Wollam v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Raizner Slania LLP
17-cv-0352-JNE-DTS	<i>Schilawski v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	The Miller Firm, LLC
17-cv-1528-JNE-DTS	<i>Martz v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
17-cv-2518-JNE-DTS	<i>Laws v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	The Olinde Firm, LLC
17-cv-2874-JNE-DTS	<i>Trinder v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Brown & Crouppen, PC
17-cv-3262-JNE-DTS	<i>Fletcher v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
18-cv-0166-JNE-DTS	<i>Davis v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Johnson Becker, PLLC

In addition, the claims of plaintiffs Bonnie Wireman, Marvin Torbeck, and Joseph Miles are dismissed with prejudice in *Wireman et al.*, 16-cv-3293-JNE-DTS, *Torbeck et al.*, 17-cv-4054-JNE-DTS, and *Miles et al.*, 17-cv-1235-JNE-DTS, respectively. Their spouses' loss of consortium claims are not dismissed at this time.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Date:

BY THE COURT

JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT